Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/589,410	MOLTENI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul A. Zucker	1621
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Paul A. Zucker</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel E. Raymond</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 July 2010	Time: <u>12:15</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1 ,2, 5 ,6, 7, 10 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Paul A. Zucker/		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature _ if appropriate)
(A)	pphoanerspphoanes representati	ive organium – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The EXaminer called Mr. Raymond to dicuss possible amenments to put the claims in condition fo allowance. Mr. Raymond agreed to authorize the following Examiner's Amendment:

The claims have been amended as follows:

Claim 1, 2, 7 and 10 have been canceled.

Claim 5, line 1: The number [1] has been deleted and replaced with the number -- 12 --.

Claim 6, line 1: The entire text of claim 6 has been deleted and replaced with the following text -- A method for modulation of LXR activity, which method comprises administering to an animal an effective amount of a compound of claim 12. --..